

### REMARKS

The Examiner objects to claims 21, 26, and 32 under 37 CFR 1.75 because they are dependent on canceled claims. Applicant has amended the claims to overcome this objection.

The Examiner rejects claims 21-22, 25-26, and 32-33 under 35 U.S.C. Section 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention. These claims have been amended to overcome this rejection.

The Examiner rejects claims 1, 5-7, 10-12, 27-29, and 34-38 under 35 U.S.C. Section 101 because the claimed subject matter is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Applicant has amended the claims to overcome this rejection.

Applicant has further amended a number of claims that were not rejected, including claims 30-33. These claims were amended for reasons unrelated to patentability, namely to clarify further the claimed subject matter.

Based on the foregoing, Applicants believe that all pending claims are in condition for allowance and such disposition is respectfully requested. In the event that a telephone conversation would further prosecution and/or expedite allowance, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

SHERIDAN ROSS P.C.

Date: July 18, 2008

By: 

Douglas W. Swartz  
Reg. No. 37,739  
1560 Broadway, Suite 1200  
Denver, Colorado 80202  
Telephone: 303-863-9700